

**MINUTES FOR THE COURT OF APPEAL
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT**

April 4, 2005

DIVISION TWO

4405-2005

IN THE MATTER OF THE SELECTION OF THE ACTING PRESIDING JUSTICE OF
DIVISION TWO OF THE SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

Pursuant to article VI, section 3, of the California Constitution, Associate Justice Kathryn Doi Todd is selected to serve as Acting Presiding Justice during the absence or inability to act of the Presiding Justice of Division Two of the Second Appellate District.

Dated April 4, 2005

ROGER W. BOREN,
Presiding Justice

DIVISION THREE

B177345 Los Angeles County, D.C.S. Not for Publication)
v.
Ericka M.

The judgment is affirmed.

Klein, P.J.

We concur: Kitching, J.
 Aldrich, J.

April 4, 2005 (Continued)

DIVISION FIVE

Court convened at 8:00 a.m.

Present: Turner, P.J., Mosk, J., Kriegler, J. (Assigned) and J. Belcher, Deputy Clerk.

Each of the following:

B178427 Peo v. Davis
B175601 Peo v. Jaquez
B175350 Bubar v. County of Los Angeles
B178597 DCFS v. Jessica T.
B177658 Green v. Judon-McGuire
B175131 Peo v. Suarez

Argument waived, cause to be submitted at 4:30 p.m.

B177489 Suzanne Malamud
 v.
 Medical Diagnosis Portable X-Ray & EKG Services, et al

Merits:
Argued by Paul H. Levine for appellants and by Jonathan Birdt for
respondent. Cause to be submitted at 4:30 p.m.

B169749 People
 v.
 Hoy Chan

Merits:
Argued by Vanessa Place for appellant and by Margaret Maxwell, deputy
attorney general, for respondent. Cause to be submitted at 4:30 p.m.

April 4, 2005 (Continued)

DIVISION FIVE (Continued)

B170367 Philip Arno
v.
Helinet Corp. et al

Merits:

Argued by Ned Good for Plaintiff, Appellant and Cross-Respondent and by
Jon B. Eisenberg for Defendants, Respondents and Cross-Appellants.
Cause to be submitted at 4:30 p.m.

B176544 Bertha Andanougi
v.
The May Department Stores Co.

Merits:

Argued by Lisa Collinson for respondent. Appellant's counsel waived
argument. Cause to be submitted at 4:30 p.m.

B174353 People
v.
John Dunn

Merits:

Argued by Vanessa Place for appellant and by Roberta Davis, deputy
attorney general, for respondent. Cause to be submitted at 4:30 p.m.

B174101 Anne Trop
v.
Sony Pictures Entertainment

Merits:

Argued by Irving Meyer for appellant and by Scott Dunham for respondent.
Cause to be submitted at 4:30 p.m.

Court recessed at 9:35 a.m.

April 4, 2005 (Continued)

DIVISION FIVE (Continued)

Court reconvened at 10:00 a.m.

Present: Turner, P.J., Armstrong, J., Mosk, J. and J. Belcher, Deputy Clerk.

Each of the following:

B175695 Peo. v. Friedman

B174479 Peo. v. Canales

B175968 Peo. v. Del Rio

B172094 Peo. v. Gutierrez

B174562 Peo. v. Stephens

B175551 In re Janesha T.

Argument waived, cause submitted.

B169590 Vehicular Technologies
 v.
 Titan Wheel International

Merits:

Argued by Richard Nakamura for appellants and by Larry Feldman for respondent. Cause submitted.

B172696 Gayle Moody
 v.
 Richard Brower

Appearances:

Appellant's counsel did not appear. Respondent's counsel waived argument. Cause submitted.

B171255 People
 v.
 Marcel Roquemore

Merits:

Argued by Judith Kahn for appellant and by Yun Lee, deputy attorney general, for respondent. Cause submitted.

April 4, 2005 (Continued)

DIVISION FIVE (Continued)

B175994 People
v.
Matthew Ellis

Merits:
Argued by Mark McBride for appellant and by Yun Lee, deputy attorney general, for respondent. Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.

Each of the following:

B178427 Peo v. Davis
B175601 Peo v. Jaquez
B175350 Bubar v. County of Los Angeles
B178597 DCFS v. Jessica T.
B177658 Green v. Judon-McGuire
B175131 Peo v. Suarez
B177489 Malamud v. Medical Diagnosis Portable X-Ray, etc., et al.
B169749 People v. Chan
B170367 Arno v. Helinet Corp. et al
B176544 Andanougi v. The May Department Stores Co.
B174353 People v. Dunn
B174101 Trop v. Sony Pictures Entertainment

Cause submitted.

DIVISION SIX

[illegible]

The judgment is affirmed.

Yegan, Acting P.J.

We concur: Coffee, J.
Perren, J.

April 4, 2005 (Continued)

DIVISION SIX (Continued)

B173256 People
 v.
 Griego

Filed order denying petition for rehearing.

DIVISION SEVEN

B177138 Southern California Edison Company (Certified for Publication)
 v.
 Public Utilities Commission of the State of California
 California Cogeneration Council and The California Wind Energy
 Association et al.

The Decisions under review are affirmed.

Woods, J.

We concur: Perluss, P.J.
 Zelon, J.